IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California Corporation,

Plaintiff.

v.

Case No. 04-1199

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and SYMANTEC CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Neither of the two cases cited by Defendants is relevant to this case. *Muniauction* involved the simple adaptation of a single prior art system for use with a known web browser, where the patentee's expert had conceded the system contained every claimed limitation other than a web browser. *Comcast* involved a single dependent claim which depended from a claim the Federal Circuit had already determined to be anticipated. Neither of these fact patterns approximates the facts of this case, which SRI respectfully suggests warrant a trial.

The apparent basis for citing these cases is their ultimate conclusion that certain claims were obvious. Of course, for every post-*KSR* case Defendants can cite where a patent claim was invalidated as obvious, SRI could cite a case to the contrary. *See, e.g., Ortho-McNeil Pharma. Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.*, 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (aff'g summary judgment of non-obviousness and issuance of permanent injunction); *Forest Labs. v. Ivax Pharma.*, 501 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (aff'g determination of non-obviousness made after a bench trial in Delaware District Court and issuance of permanent injunction).

Dated: July 24, 2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ Thomas L. Halkowski

Thomas L. Halkowski (#4099) Kyle Wagner Compton (#4693) 919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1114

Wilmington, DE 19889-1114

Tel: (302) 652-5070 Fax: (302) 652-0607

Frank E. Scherkenbach 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110 Tel: (617) 542-5070 Fax: (617) 542-8906

Howard G. Pollack Katherine D. Prescott 500 Arguello St., Ste. 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 839-5070

Fax: (650) 839-5071

Attorneys for Plaintiff SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 24, 2008, I electronically filed with the Clerk of Court the

attached RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

using CM/ECF which will send electronic notification of such filing(s) to the following

Delaware counsel. In addition, the filing will also be sent via hand delivery:

Richard L. Horwitz David E. Moore Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza 1313 North Market Street, 6th Floor P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Georgia corporation

Richard K. Herrmann Morris James Hitchens & Williams LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, 15th Floor P.O. Box 2306 Wilmington, DE 19899-2306 rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff Symantec Corporation

I also certify that on July 24, 2008, I electronically mailed the above document(s) to the following non-registered participants:

Paul S. Grewal Renee DuBord Brown Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder, LLP 20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400 Cupertino, CA 95014 pgrewal@daycasebeer.com rbrown@daycasebeer.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff Symantec Corporation

Holmes J. Hawkins, III Natasha H. Moffitt King & Spalding LLP 1180 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309 hhawkins@kslaw.com nmoffitt@kslaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Georgia corporation

/s/ Thomas L. Halkowski Thomas L. Halkowski